

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

JUN 16 2006

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

PATRICIA RANGEL,

Petitioner,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 04-75149

Agency No. A95-183-193

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted June 12, 2006 **

Before: KLEINFELD, PAEZ, and BERZON, Circuit Judges.

Patricia Rangel, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' decision affirming an immigration judge's denial

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

04-75149

of her application for cancellation of removal. Because we lack jurisdiction, we dismiss the petition for review.

We lack jurisdiction to consider Rangel's challenge to the agency's discretionary determination that she failed to demonstrate exceptional and extremely unusual hardship to her qualifying relatives. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1252(a)(2)(B)(i); *Romero-Torres v. Ashcroft*, 327 F.3d 887, 892 (9th Cir. 2003); *Montero-Martinez v. Ashcroft*, 277 F.3d 1137, 1144 (9th Cir. 2002).

Moreover, Rangel has failed to raise a colorable constitutional claim to invoke our jurisdiction over this petition for review. *See Torres-Aguilar v. INS*, 246 F.3d 1267, 1271 (9th Cir. 2001).

DISMISSED.